



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,751	09/15/2003	James D. Goss	7784-000641	1549	
7590 08/03/2006			EXAMINER		
Mark D. Elchuk			KRAUSE, JUSTIN MITCHELL		
·	ey & Pierce, P.L.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 828		ARTONI	TATER NOMBER		
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303			3682		
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			,751	GOSS ET AL.				
			er	Art Unit				
		Justin K		3682				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to to reply within the set or extended period for reply very reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication, lutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a r I will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	CATION. repty be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>16 Mav 2006</i> .						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7,17-25 and 36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	is/are allowed.							
•	☐ Claim(s) <u>8-16,26-35</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	_							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 :	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requ	uired if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of:			§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•		received				
	and allegings detailed embe deller							
Attachma-	tie)							
Attachmen 1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/662,751 Page 2

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 8-16 drawn to a Bearing Assembly in the reply filed on May 16, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no serious burden arises from examining the entire application. This is not found persuasive because the examiner finds that it would be a serious burden to examine all of the claimed inventions in the application.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 1-7, 17-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on May 16, 2006. Examiner further withdraws new claim 36 as being dependent on withdrawn claim 1.

Claims 8-16 and 26-35 are currently pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The Information Disclosure Statement filed November 21, 2003 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3682

5. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "bearing cups" and "the second bearing cup" and there is insufficient antecedent basis for multiple bearing cups as claim 8, from which claim 10 depends only recites a single cup.

Throughout claims 10-13 and 15, repeated reference is made to limitations in pluralities (i.e. teeth, slots, bearings, etc...) which would not satisfy the preceding limitation "at least one" because if there were only one, the feature would not be plural.

It appears as applicant's intent is for claim 10 to depend from claim 9, as claim 9 recites a second bearing cup which would make the references to plural entities definite. For purposes of examination, the Examiner is treating claim 10 as being dependent on claim 9 in order to treat claims 10-15 on the merits as best as is understandable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8-16 and 26-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baninger (US Patent 1,851,561).

Baninger discloses a bearing assembly comprising:

- -a first inner race (68) and a first outer race (80)
- -a first bearing cup (84) secured to the first outer race, including a projecting structural element (90) and a plurality of teeth (88)
- -a plurality of first rolling elements disposed between the first inner and outer races (76)
 - -a second inner race (70) and a second outer race (82)
- -a second bearing cup (86) secured to the second outer race and including a plurality of teeth (88)
- -a second plurality of rolling elements (78) disposed between the second inner and outer races.

Regarding the limitation that the projecting structural element prevents rotation while allowing for axial movement of the first bearing cup and the teeth of the first and second bearing cups engage to prevent relative rotation; the device of Baninger meets these limitations (see page 2 lines 45-55) however functional limitations are being given limited patentable weight and the device must be defined over the prior art by its structure, and not its function.

While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. *In re Schreiber*, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997)

Application/Control Number: 10/662,751 Page 5

Art Unit: 3682

"[A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does."

Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (emphasis in original). (see MPEP 2114)

The bearing assembly further comprises a spring (100, 102) disposed axially between the first outer race and the second outer race.

The first bearing cup includes a plurality of circumferentially arranged slots around a perimeter portion for engaging with the teeth of the second bearing cup and visa versa.

The bearing cups include shoulders (96) extending radially inward from an inner surface, the shoulders abut the outer races.

A shim (72) is disposed between the inner races.

Regarding claim 16, product by process limitations are given minimal patentable weight in device claims.

"[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (See MPEP 2113 [R-1])

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,751 Page 6

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Krause whose telephone number is 571-272-3012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on 571-272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMK 8/1/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER